

Research Paper

The Effect of Ammonia Salt Concentration on the Properties of Chemical Bath Deposited CdS Thin Films

S. Suresh, G. Suresh Babu and *T. Veera Reddy¹ , ¹Department of Chemistry, VikramaSimhapuri University, A.P, INDIA ²Lecturer in Physics, Govt. Degree College, Vayalpad, A.P., INDIA

(Received 24th September 2014, Accepted 06th December 2014)

Abstract: Cadmium sulphide films were grown on glass substrates by chemical bath deposition (CBD) from a bath containing CdCl2, NH4Cl, NH4OH and thiourea. The effect of ammonium chloride on the deposition rate, structure and optical properties of the CdS thin films has been considered in this work. The XRD patterns show that the films have polycrystalline structure. The deposition time decreases with decreasing the concentration of NH4Cl. The surface morphology, Optical properties and the band gap value reported in this work is in good agreement with that reported in the literature.

Keywords: ammonium salt, CBD, Optical studies,Thin film, XRD.

Introduction

CdS thin films are widely used as n-type window/ buffer layers in thin film solar cells. Many preparation methods such as chemical bath deposition (CBD) $[1-10]$, close spaced sublimation (CSS) ^[11], metal organic chemical vapor deposition $(MOCVD)$ ^[12, 13], pulsed laser deposition^[14], spray pyrolysis $^{[15]}$, sputtering $^{[16, 17]}$, are used to fabricate CdS thin films. Among them, the CBD technique has been proved to be the most suitable method to produce CdS thin films for photovoltaic applications because it is a cost-effective and largearea deposition method. Thin-film solar cells based on $CdTe^{[18,19]}$ and $CIGS$ $^{[20]}$ have been achieved efficiencies in excess of 16.5% and 20.3% utilizing CdS films prepared via the CBD technique $[9]$.

In the CBD technique, deposition parameters, such as the reaction temperature $[21, 22]$, pH ^[23, 24] and composition of the starting chemicals $[25, 26]$, control the stoichiometry and the structure of the films. In particular, the role of pH is extremely critical to the films formation. The pH of the solution is very sensitive to small concentrations of acids and bases and may change during the chemical reaction. It is a well known fact that the pH of the solution can be stabilized by using a

© 2014 IJRCE. All rights reserved

buffer. Buffers are usually mixtures of weak acids or bases and their salts $[27]$. A common example in CBD-CdS is the use of an ammonium salt to control the pH of reaction solution. Several authors have reported the deposition of CdS films by varying the solutions composition $[25,26]$, its pH $[23,24]$, and the reaction temperature $[21,22]$. Only few reports, Chu *et al* and Lee*etal*. [28,29] explain the properties of CdS films deposited with ammonium acetate as a buffer. Hence, there is ample of scope to the study the effect of buffer on the deposited films. NH4Cl is also one of the buffer solutions in many chemical reactions. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the effect of NH4Cl buffer on the properties of CBD-CdS thin films. In this study, the CdS thin film was grown by using the CBD technique. In the present paper the structural, optical, and electrical properties of the CdS film were investigated as a function of the ammonium chloride concentration in order to optimize their physical and optical properties.

Material and Methods

CdS films were chemically deposited from an aqueous solution containing cadmium chloride $(CdCl₂)$, thiourea $((NH₂)₂CS)$, ammonia and ammonium chloride (NH4Cl). Cadmium chloride of 0.05M and thiourea of 0.1M were employed as the cadmium and the sulfur sources, respectively. Ammonia was used as complexing agent to form a cadmium complex, which slowly releases $Cd²⁺ions$ for subsequent reaction with S^{2−}ions. Ammonium chloride was used as buffer. In the solution growth process, a cadmium complex (ammonia, cyanide, or triethanolamine) and an organic sulfidizing agent (thiourea or thioacetamide) provide very low concentrations of Cd^{2+} and S^{2-} in solution. In the presence of sufficient $NH₃$, the cadmium salt exists predominantly in the form of $Cd(NH_3)_4^{2+}$. The room-temperature equilibrium constant of the reaction in Eq. (4) is very small. When product of the concentrations of Cd^{2+} and S^{2-} in solution exceeds the solubility product of CdS, 1.4 *×* 10*[−]*²⁹at 25*⁰*C, a chemical reaction takes place, precipitating $CdS^{[28]}$. The reaction rate can be controlled by adjusting the pH, reaction temperature, and the relative concentrations of the various reactants in the solution ^[30]. The involved reactions and their equilibrium constants at room temperature are as follows [28]:

$$
NH_3 + H_2O
$$
 \longleftrightarrow $NH^+_{4} + OH^-, K_1 = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$, (1)

$$
Cd^{2+} + 2OH \longleftrightarrow Cd(OH)_2(s), K_2 = 1.88 \times 10^{14}, \tag{2}
$$

$$
Cd^{2+} + 4NH_3 \iff Cd(NH_3)_4^{2+}, K_3 = 3.6 \times 10^6,\tag{3}
$$

$$
(NH2)2CS + 2OH \leftrightarrow S2- + 2H2O + H2CN2
$$
 (4)

$$
Cd^{2+} + S^{2-} \longrightarrow CdS(s), K_5 = 7.1 \times 10^{28},
$$
 (5)

If an ammonium salt is added to the reaction solution, the NH⁺₄concentration in the reaction in Eq. (1) is now dictated by the concentration of the ammonium salt rather than by that of ammonia due to the weak dissociation of ammonia. The buffering action of this solution can be understood by considering the equilibrium in Eq. (1). If extra OH*[−]* is added to the solution, the equilibrium is shifted to the left. As a result, it tends to remove OH*[−]* , and the pH of the solution is barely changed. Although it also removes NH^+_{4} , the reduction of the NH⁺₄ion will not affect the pH greatly if the concentration of the NH^+ ₄ions is high to begin with. This is the reason that the combination of ammonia and ammonium ions is a better buffer than ammonia by itself $^{[21]}$.

The substrates used in this study were 76 mm x 26 mm x 1.3 mm glass slides. The cleaned substrates were mounted vertically using a teflon holder in a closed vessel, and a quantity of deionized water sufficient to make the total volume of solution 50 ml was transferred to the bath. This was followed by the addition of (i) $CdCl₂$ solution, (ii) ammonia, and (iii) NH4Cl solution. The solution was mixed slowly at room temperature with continuous stirring. When the ammonia was added to the solution containing $CdCl₂$, the solution

became milky color because of production of the $Cd(OH)$ ₂ via the reaction in Eq. (2). The addition of NH4Cl made the reaction solution clear, indicating that the concentration of $Cd(OH)_{2}$ was decreased and the reaction in Eq. (3) had been promoted. An appropriate amount of thiourea was finally added to the reaction vessel. The solution was heated to the required temperature of deposition $(75^{\circ}C)$. When the temperature of the solution had reached and was maintained at the same by means of a thermostatic water bath. The initial pH of the solution was about 11. The solution was vigorously stirred to facilitate the transport of Cd^{2+} and S^{2-} ions to the substrate's surface. The substrates were then taken out after a given time interval. All of the films were cleaned thoroughly with deionized water and then dried. For the purpose of optical characteristic measurements, we removed the CdS film on one side of the substrate by using cotton swabs dipped in diluted HCl. All of the CdS films had a thickness of about 200 nm, showing good reproducibility. Table 1 shows the growth conditions of CdS thin films.

S. No.	Parameters	Condition
	Reaction	75^0 C
	temperature	
\mathfrak{D}	Cadmium chloride (CdCl ₂)	0.05 _M
3	Thiourea	0.1 _M
	((NH ₂) ₂ CS)	
4	Ammonia solution	2.0 M
5	pH	$10.3 - 10.6$
6	Ammonium chloride (NH4Cl)	$0 \sim 0.3$ M

Table 1: Growth conditions of the CdS thin films

Film thickness measured by gravimetric weight difference method with the relation

T = m / (ρ×A)

where, *m* is the mass of the film deposition on the substrate in gram, *A* the area of the deposited film in cm² and ρ the density of the deposited material $(CdS = 4.69$ g/cm³) in bulk form. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as-deposited CdS thin film were recorded in a D5005 Brucker X-ray diffractometer with CuK_a radiation $\lambda = 1.54056^{\circ}$ A, operated at 40 kV and 40 mA in Graging Incidence XRD (GIXRD mode. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) micrographs were obtained using JEOL 6400F SEM at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. Specular transmission measurements have been done at room temperature with unpolarized light at normal incidence in the wavelength range from 200 to 1000 nm using Cary 500 (Varian) double beam UV/VIS spectrophotometer. The optical absorption

coefficient α was calculated for each film using the equation:

 $I_t = I_0 exp(-\alpha t)$

Where t is the film thickness, It and Io are the intensity of transmitted light and initial light, respectively. The optical absorption coefficient αvaries with photon energy (hν) according to the relation

$$
(\alpha h \nu) = A(h \nu - E_g)^n
$$

Where *n* is a factor which depends on the nature of the optical transition and Egis the band gap. The values of n are $\frac{1}{2}$, 2, 3/2 for direct-allowed, indirect-allowed and direct-forbidden transitions respectively. For direct-allowed transitions between parabolic bands, a plot of $(\alpha h v)^2$ with photon energy (hv) is drawn for determination of optical band gap. The extrapolation of the linear region of the plot onto hy axis gives the direct optical band gap of the films.

Growth Characteristics

Figure 1: Growth rate of CdS films as a function of NH4Cl Concentration

Figure 1 shows the growth rate of CdS films as a function of NH4Cl concentration. In the absence of NH4Cl, a rapid reaction in the solution gives rise to a number of CdS particulates that adsorb on the glass's surface, then reducing the thickness of the CdS film. When the NH4Cl was added to the solution, the deposition rate increased rapidly. If the ammonium salt (in this case, $NH₄Cl$) is added, the slow release of Cd^{2+} and S^{2-} ions via the reactions in Eqs. (3) and (4) suppresses the homogeneous reaction in the solution and promotes a heterogeneous reaction on the substrate. Therefore, the growth rate of the CdS film increases. However, at concentrations of NH4Cl higher than 0.05 M, the deposition rate was reduced. At a given temperature, the rate of formation of CdS is determined by the concentration of Cd^{2+} provided by $Cd(NH_3)^{2+}$ ₄and the concentration of S^2 [−] produced by the hydrolysis of $(NH₂)₂CS$. The excess of NH₄Cl in the solution shifts the equilibrium position of the reaction in Eq.

(1), increasing the concentration $Cd(NH_3)_4^{2+}$ and reducing the concentration of Cd^{2+} ions. The equilibrium position of the reaction in Eq. (4) is also shifted; reducing the concentration of the $S²$ ion [28]. Therefore, the rate of formation of CdS is reduced.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of CdS films prepared with different NH4Cl concentrations. With no NH4Cl There are no considerable diffraction peaks observed. As mentioned above, the addition of $NH₄Cl$ can provide a slow release of $Cd²⁺$ and S^{2−}ions via the reaction in Eq. (3), promoting an ion by-ion reaction on the substrate's surface and the growth of a high-quality CdS film. As the NH4Cl concentration was increased, peaks corresponding to the hexagonal $(0 0 2)$ or the cubic $(1 1 1)$ planes were observed peaks, in addition weak diffraction peaks which could be assigned to the hexagonal (1 1 0) or cubic (2 2 0) plane and to the hexagonal (1 1 2) or the cubic (3 1 1) plane, appeared in the XRD pattern. This result suggests that the crystallinity of CdS films is improved by the addition of an adequate amount of NH4Cl.

Micro Structure

Figure 3.Shows the SEM micrographs of CdS films grown on glass substrates with different NH4Cl concentrations. The CdS film prepared without NH₄Cl consists of a uniform layer of nanocrystallites less than 100 nm in size. In addition, a number of large particles were seen on the film's surface. Those were CdS particles, not impurities, because no impurity phase was observed in the XRD pattern. Those particles were quite likely colloidal particles formed in the solution and adsorbed on the substrate during the growth of the films. The formation of CdS can take place heterogeneously on the substrate's surface, depositing a CdS film, or homogeneously in the solution, producing CdS precipitation. The homogeneous process is highly undesirable because the adsorption of CdS particles on the substrate's surface yields powdery and non-adherent films. The

homogeneous process may be suppressed by using conditions for the formation of CdS at low rates, such as low concentrations of CdCl₂ and $(NH₂)₂CS$, high concentrations of $NH₃$ and $NH₄Cl$, low temperature, and so on $^{[28]}$. As the NH₄Cl concentration was increased, the particulates on the surface decrease, and the grains in the film became larger. In the heterogeneous process, nucleation on the substrate surface results from the preferential adsorption of Cd^{2+} or S^{2-} ions, followed by the addition of S^{2−}or Cd²⁺ ions. The concentration of Cd^{2+} and S^{2-} ions in the solution is reduced by using the buffer. When the buffer is added, the slow release of these ions via the reactions in Eqs. (3) and (4) reduces the number of nucleation centers and promotes heterogeneous growth on the substrate.

 $Mao = 200 K$

WD = 11.5 mm

Figure 3: SEM images of A) NO NH4Cl B) 0.1 M NH4Cl C) 0.2 M NH4Cl D) 0.3 M NH4Cl

Optical Properties

Figure 4 shows the optical transmittance of CdS films deposited with various $NH₄Cl$ concentrations. For no or low NH4Cl concentration, the sharp absorption edge near 550 nm was not observed, and tails were extended toward short wavelengths. In addition, relatively high transmittances were observed. This may be due to the small thickness and/or the poor crystallinity of the CdS film. As the NH4Cl concentration was increased, a sharp absorption edge, which is generally observed for a direct semiconductor film, appeared in the transmittance spectrum. As the amount of the buffer solution was increased, the heterogeneous reaction on the substrate increased, the film became thicker, and its crystallization was improved, as shown Figs. 2 and 3.

Figure 4: Optical transmission spectra as a function of λ for different NH4Cl concentration

Figure 5: A plots of (αhυ)² versus (hυ) of CdS thin films at different NH4Cl concentration

The plots of $(\alpha h v)^2$ versus hv for CdS films are shown in Fig.5. The direct optical band gap of CdS films is found to 2.27 eV, 2.30 eV and 2.35 respectively. The decrease in optical band gap might be due to improvement in crystallinity with increasing buffer solution.

Conclusion

The deposition rate of the CdS films was greatly increased by using NH4Cl as a buffer, and the crystallinity of the films was improved. Also, the number of CdS particulates adsorbed on the film's surface was decreased, and the grain size of the films was increased. However, the deposition rate was decreased as the concentration of NH4Cl was increased. When more NH4Cl was added to the reaction solution, the optical absorption edge shifted to longer wavelength, suggesting that the optical band gap of the films was lower. XRD analyses showed that the buffer played a significant role in the structural and optical properties of the CdS films.

References

1. Ramprasad S., Su Y.-W., Chang C.-H., Paul B.K. and Palo D.R., Cadmium sulfide thin film deposition: A parametric study using microreactor-assisted chemical solution deposition, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells,* **96**, 77 **(2012)**.

2. Caoa M., Li L., Zhang B.L., Huang J., Tang K., Cao H., Sun Y. and Shen Y., Effect of $CdCl₂$ Concentration and Heat Treatment on Electrodeposited Nano-Crystalline CdS Thin Films from Non-Aqueous Solution, *J. Alloy Compd*., **530**, 81 **(2012)**

3. Pawar S.M., Pawar B.S., Kim J.H., Joo O.-S. and Lokhande C. D., Recent status of chemical bath deposited metal chalcogenide and metal oxide thin films, *Curr. Appl. Phys*. **11**,117 (**2011**)

4. Tec-Yama S., Pati˜no R. and Oliva A.I., Chemical bath deposition of CdS films on different substrate orientations, *Curr. Appl. Phys*., **11**, 914 **(2011)**

5. Sandoval-Paz M.G. and Ram´ırez-Bon R., Optical and structural properties of chemically deposited CdS thin films on polyethylene naphthalate substrates, *Thin Solid Films,* **520**, 999 (**2011**)

6. Han J., Spanheimer C., Haindl G., Fu G., Krishnakumar V., Schaffner J., Fan C., Zhao K., Klein A. and Jaegermann W., Optimized chemical bath deposited CdS layers for the improvement of CdTe solar cells, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells,* **95**, 816 (**2011**)

7. Ochoa-Land´ın R., Sastre-Hern´andez J., Vigil-Galan O. and Ram´ırez-Bon R., Chemically deposited CdS by an ammonia-free process for solar cells window layers, *Sol. Energy,* **84**, 208 (**2010**)

8. Patil S. B. and Singh A.K., Effect of complexing agent on the photoelectrochemical properties of bath deposited CdS thin films, *Appl. Surf. Sci*. **256**, 2884 **(2010)**

9. Sandoval-Paz M. G. and Ram´ırez-Bon R., Analysis of the early growth mechanisms during the chemical deposition of CdS thin films by spectroscopic ellipsometry, *Thin Solid Films,* **517**, 6747 **(2009)**

10. Kozhevnikova N.S., Rempel A.A., Hergert F. and Magerl A., Structural study of the initial growth of nanocrystallineCdS thin films in a chemical bath**,** *Thin Solid Films,* **517**, 2586 **(2009)**

11. Feldmeier E.M., Fuchs A., Schaffner J., Schimper H.-J., Klein A. and Jaegermann W., Comparison between the structural, morphological and optical properties of CdS layers prepared by Close Space Sublimation and RF magnetron sputtering for CdTe solar cells, *Thin Solid Films,* **519**, 7596 **(2011)**

12. Zoppi G., Durose K., Irvine S.J.C. and Barrioz V., Grain and crystal texture properties of absorber layers in MOCVD-grown CdTe/CdS solar cells**,** *Semicond. Sci. Technol*., **21**, 763 **(2006)**

13. Uda [H.,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927024802001630) Yonezawa [H.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927024802001630), Ohtsubo Y., Kosaka [M.,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927024802001630) Sonomura [H.,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927024802001630) Thin CdS films prepared by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition**,** *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells,* **75**, 219 (**2003**)

14. Acharya K.P., Mahalingam K. and Ullrich B., Structural, compositional, and optoelectronic properties of thin-film CdS on *p-*GaAs prepared by pulsed-laser deposition, *Thin Solid Films,* **518**, 1784 (**2010)**

15. Ravichandran K. and Philominathan P., Investigations on microstructural and optical properties of CdS films fabricated by a low-cost, simplified spray technique using perfume atomizer for solar cell applications**,** *Sol. Energy,* **82**, 1062 (**2008**)

16. Kim N.-H., Ryu S.-H., Noh H.-S. and Lee W.-S., Electrical and optical properties of sputter-deposited cadmium sulfide thin films optimized by annealing temperature, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Processing,* **15**, 125**(2012)**

17. Hernández-Contreras [H.,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040609003014792) Contreras [G.,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040609003014792) Optical study of the influence of $CdCl₂$ in large area CdS thin films grown by RF-sputtering**,** *Thin Solid Films,* **451**, 203 (**2004)**

18. Wu X., J. C. Keane, R. G. Dhere, C. DeHart, A. Duda, T. A.Gessert, S. Asher, D. H. Levi, P. Sheldon, Proceedings of the 17th E-PVSEC, (M¨unchen, October **(2001**) 995.

19. Romeo N., Bosio A., Canevari V. and Podesta A., Recent progress on CdTe/CdS thin film solar cells**,** *Sol. Energy,* 77, 795 **(2004)**

20. Jackson P., Hariskos D., Lotter E., Paetel S., Wuerz R., Menner R., Wischmann W. and Powalla M., *Prog. Photovolt: Res. Appl.,* **19**, 894 **(2011)**

21. Moualkia H., Hariech S. and Aida M.S., Structural and optical properties of CdS thin films grown by chemical bath deposition, *Thin Solid Films,* **518**, 1259 **(2009)**

22. Liu F., Lai Y., Liu J., Wang B., Kuang S., Zhang Z., Li J. and Liu Y., Characterization of chemical bath deposited CdS thin films at different deposition temperature**,** *J. Alloy Compd*., **493**, 305 **(2010)**

23. Kariper A., G¨uneri E., G¨ode F., G¨um¨us C. and ¨Ozpozan T., The structural, electrical and optical properties of CdS thin films as a function of pH**,** *Mater. Chem. Phys*., **129**,183 **(2011)**

24. Liu Q.Q., Shi J.H., Li Z.Q., Zhang D.W., Li X.D., Sun Z., Zhang L.Y. and Huang S.M., Morphological and stoichiometric study of chemical bath deposited CdS films by varying ammonia concentration, Physica B **405**, 4360 **(2010)**

25. Cruz J.S., P´erez R.C., Delgado G.T. and Angel O.Z., CdS thin films doped with metal-organic salts using chemical bath deposition, *Thin Solid Films,* **518**, 1791 **(2010)**

26. Vigil-Gal´an O., Morales-Acevedo A., Cruz-Gandarilla F., Jim´enez-Escamilla M.G., Aguilar-Hern´andez J., Contreras-Puente G., Sastr´e-Hern´andez J., S´anchez-Meza E. and Ram´on-Garcia M.L., Characterization of CBD–CdS layers with different S/Cd ratios in the chemical bath and their relation with the efficiency of CdS/CdTe solar cells, *Thin Solid Films,* **515**, 6085 **(2007)**

27. Hodes G., Chemical Solution Deposition of Semiconductor Films (Marcel Dekker, Inc., Madison Avenue, NewYork, Chap. 1, (**2003**)

28. Chu T.L., Chu S.S., Schultz N., Wang C. and Wu C.Q., *Solution*‐*Grown Cadmium Sulfide Films for Photovoltaic Devices, J. Electrochem. Soc*., **139**, 2443 **(1992)**

29. Lee S., Kim D., Baek D., Hong B. and Lee J., Role of the buffer solution in the chemical deposition of CdS films for CIGS solar cell applications*, J. Korean physical soc*., **64**, 1566 **(2014)**

30. Kaur I., Pandya D.K. and Chopra K.L, Growth Kinetics and Polymorphism of Chemically Deposited CdS Films*, J. Electrochem. Soc.,* **127**, 943 **(1980)**