



ISSN 2248-9649

International Journal of Research in Chemistry and Environment

Available online at: www.ijrce.org



Research Paper

Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Underground water Quality of Some Villages of Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan, India

Babita Kumari¹, *Gupta Y.K.²

¹Department of Chemistry, Shri Jagdish Prasad Jhabarmal Tibrewala University, Vidyanagari, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan – 333001, INDIA

²Department of Chemistry, B K Birla Institute of Engineering and Technology, Pilani, Rajasthan, INDIA

(Received 30th July 2015, Accepted 25th September 2015)

Abstract: Physico-chemical characteristics of underground water of Some Villages of Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan, India have been studied. Water samples of the 15 villages from Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan were collected and analysed for physico-chemical parameters like electrical conductivity, pH, temperature, total hardness, total dissolved solids, and concentrations of ions like sodium, potassium, fluoride, chloride, nitrate and sulphate. The result were compared with the drinking water standards of WHO (1973) and ISI (10500-91). The study indicates the need for monitoring of underground water for physico-chemical characteristics in study area. An efficient calculations were made to calculate the correlation coefficient 'r' along with the parameters and the study give an idea about the positive and significant correlation of TH with TDS, SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- ion concentrations, while TH show outstanding correlation with Cl^- ion concentrations.

Keywords: Underground water, Correlation co-efficient, Physico-chemical characteristics.

© 2015 IJRCE. All rights reserved

Introduction

Water is important to the functioning of the human body and the human body cannot survive without it. Water quality is necessary for all the people, the quality of water can be affected by different pollutants such as, physical, chemical and biological. Pollutants like viruses, bacteria, salt, nitrate and heavy metals have found their method into water supplies, the water pollution occur when a body of water is badly affected due to the presence of huge amounts of pollutants to the water^[1]. In rural area the arid and semi arid zones, where well managed water transportation system and linked infrastructures are unavailable, groundwater serves as principal source of drinking water.

Groundwater is an excellent reservoir of water but as lakes, streams and rivers are influenced by human and natural factors, groundwater is also face the same situation around the world. Hydrological aspects, human activities and characteristics of recharged water influence the quality of groundwater. As groundwater is used in

large amount, some difficulty are created such as lowering of water table, water logging, land subsidence, sea water intrusion in coastal deterioration and aquifers in water quality^[2]. Groundwater is most sensitive topic which has importance not only at local level, but also at global level^[3-5]. Similar to other countries, the issue of groundwater has become a problem of significance for the development of India. Unlimited exploration of groundwater and extreme use of pesticides and fertilizers make probable the access of determine the constituents to the groundwater. Industrial and domestic waste also affected groundwater^[6]. As a result, groundwater becomes unhealthy^[7-8] Hence a constant monitoring on groundwater becomes compulsory in order to reduce the groundwater pollution and control the pollutants^[9]. This study involves the determination of physical and chemical parameters of groundwater of Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan of different villages. The purpose of this study is to determine the water quality, through analysis of selected water samples, quality parameters like temperature, pH, EC, TH, TDS, Na^+ ,

K⁺, Cl⁻, F⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄⁻² and compare the results with the standards values suggested by ISI and WHO.

Material and Methods

About Jhunjhunu District

Jhunjhunu District is one of 33 Districts of Rajasthan State. The administrative head quarter of Jhunjhunu District is in Jhunjhunu. It is Located 164 KM South towards State capital Jaipur. Population of Jhunjhunu District is about 2139658. It is 12th Largest District in the State by population.

Geography and Climate Jhunjhunu District

Jhunjhunu District is Located at Latitude-28.1, Longitude-75.3. Jhunjhunu District is sharing border with Bhiwani District to North, Churu District to west, Sikar District to South. It is sharing Border with Haryana State to the North. Jhunjhunu District occupies an area of approximately 5928 square kilometers. It has 420 to 278 meters elevation range. This District belongs to Hindi Belt of India.

Climate of Jhunjhunu District

It is Hot in summer. Jhunjhunu District, The highest day temperature is in summer between 24°C to 46°C. Average temperatures of January is 15°C , February is 18°C , March is 25°C , April is 31°C , May is 36°C .

Demo Graphics of Jhunjhunu District

The People Jhunjhunu District Speaks Rajasthani and Hindi. Jhunjhunu District is divided into 9 Tehsils, Panchayats, and 887 Villages.

Census 2011 of Jhunjhunu District

The total population of Jhunjhunu district is about 2139658 according to census 2011. Females are 1042397 and Males are 1097261. Literate people are 1415598 among total. Its total area is about 5928 km². It is the 12th largest district in the state by Population. But it is the 22nd Largest District in the state by Area, 213rd Largest District in the Country by Population, 3rd highest District in the State By literacy rate and 263rd highest District in the Country By literacy rate. Its literacy Rate is about 74.72

Study area

Jhunjhunu district of Rajasthan is located in the extreme north eastern part (bordering Haryana state) of Rajasthan State and lies between 27°38' & 28°31' north latitudes and 75°02' & 76°06' east longitudes. It covers 5928 sq.km. of geographical area. The administrative set up of the district is given below.

Jhunjhunu district is covered under mainly basin of Sekhawati and north western area falls under the outside the basin i.e. having inland drainage. The area is drained mainly by River Kantli. The area in the south eastern part is drained by River Singhana and a minute area in south western corner of district is drained by Nala Budhi. The south and east of hill ranges in area of Khetri is drained by River Dohana. All the rivers/Nalas are temporary in nature and flows in response to heavy rainfall during monsoon session. Being a desertic landscape particularly in north eastern and north western part of district has inland drainage

Rajasthan is the largest state in the country in terms of geographic spread. It has 342,239 lakh Sq kms area, being largest state of the country having 10.41 % of the country's area and 5.5% of nation's population but has less water resources i.e. 1% of the country's resources. The state has extreme geographical and climatic condition and it suffers both the problems of quality and quantity of water.

Methodology

Groundwater samples were collected from Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan. Samples were collected in clean polythene bottles and rinsed three to four times with the water samples before the samples were stored at a temperature below 4⁰C before analysis in the laboratory. Physical and chemical parameters such as pH, TDS, EC, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄⁻² and F⁻ were calculated by standard methods [10]. Potassium and Sodium were calculated by Flame photometer methods (systronic -128). Specific chemicals were used for the analysis and double distilled water was used for preparation of solutions. Sample locations are shown in the Figure 1.

| S. No. | Sub-division | Tehsil | Block | Area (sq.km.) |
|--------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1 | Chirawa | Chirawa | Chirawa | 493.04 |
| | | | Surajgarh | 779.09 |
| 2 | Jhunjhunu | Jhunjhunu | Alsisar | 827.15 |
| | | | Jhunjhunu | 751.90 |
| 3 | Khetri | Buhana | Buhana | 651.14 |
| | | Khetri | Khetri | 819.44 |
| 4 | Nawalgarh | Nawalgarh | Nawalgarh | 696.80 |
| 5 | Udaipurwati | Udaipurwati | Udaipurwati | 867.28 |



Figure 1: Study area

The sampling points S_{15} showed high pH values.

Results and Discussion

In studied areas the groundwater was free from odor and color. The physico-chemical characteristics of water sample analyzed have been presented in Table 1. The data revealed that there was an extensive physico-chemical variation in the analyzed samples.

The variations were not only in the samples collected from different localities, but the samples collected from the same locality were also having extensive variations in water quality. This is due to irregular distribution of rocks or due to difference in depth of water resources installed. A comparison of the depth of the water resources installation indicates that the deep installations were superior to the shallow installations with respect to the water quality in the study area. Since shallow water resources draw water from the top most bearing structure, which is responsible to contamination by various natural in addition to anthropogenic sources percolating in the locality and taking with it minerals, etc.

Temperature (T) in $^{\circ}\text{C}$

Temperature plays a vital role in the metabolic activities of the organism [11]. The temperature was ranging from 26.6°C to 30.5°C during the study period.

pH

pH is considered as most important environmental factor which provides an important factor and information in many type of solubility, calculation or geochemical equilibrium [9]. pH values

of water samples were varied between 6.68 to 9.05.

Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The electrical conductivity is an indicator of the degree of mineralization of water. Which depends upon degree of dissociation, concentration and migration velocity of ions in the electric field? In the study it varied from $1000\ \mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$. to $3600\ \mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$. The electrical conductivity is correlated with total dissolved solids [12].

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

TDS means the concentrations of all dissolved minerals in water which show the salinity of water. In the study TDS value varied between from $310\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$ to $1030\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$.

The sample points S_6 and S_9 showed higher range of TDS than desirable limits of $1000\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$. TDS in groundwater originate from sewage, natural sources, urban run-off and industrial wastes [13].

Total Hardness (TH)

Total Hardness of water is due to the natural accumulation of salts from contact with soil or it may enter from direct pollution by industrial effluence. The hardness of water generally depends upon the quantity of calcium or magnesium salts or both. In the study TH differ from $58.34\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$ to $312.76\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$. The values for sample from point S_9 and S_{15} were higher than the ISI prescribed limit [14].

Sodium (Na^+)

The concentrations of Sodium are varied between $7.99\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$ to $65.33\ \text{mg}/\text{l}$. which found within the WHO limit.

Table 1: Physico-chemical Characteristics and Concentrations of Underground water Samples of Some Villages of Jhunjhunu District of Rajasthan, India

| Sample No. | Sample Location | | T ⁰ C | pH | EC µs/cm | TDS mg/l | TH mg/l | Na ⁺ mg/l | K ⁺ mg/l | Cl ⁻ mg/l | F ⁻ mg/l | NO ₃ ⁻ mg/l | SO ₄ ⁻² mg/l |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| S ₁ | Pilani | Min. | 27.5 | 7.18 | 1300 | 440 | 98.78 | 42.66 | 50.88 | 62.3 | 0.52 | 6.7 | 10.5 |
| | | Max. | 29.5 | 7.32 | 1600 | 490 | 127.98 | 56.21 | 58.42 | 74.8 | 0.61 | 12.8 | 24.2 |
| | | Average | 28.4 | 7.27 | 1400.7 | 470.6 | 115.36 | 51.34 | 55.89 | 71 | 0.56 | 8.9 | 16.3 |
| S ₂ | Chirawa | Min. | 26.6 | 7.44 | 2000 | 710 | 181.91 | 41.54 | 42.41 | 245.5 | 0.19 | 6.4 | 30.1 |
| | | Max. | 30.2 | 7.81 | 2400 | 770 | 211.23 | 52.44 | 51.75 | 275.3 | 0.25 | 13.3 | 41.4 |
| | | Average | 27.9 | 7.72 | 2022.9 | 746.8 | 200.54 | 48.49 | 46.78 | 269.8 | 0.23 | 8.2 | 35.6 |
| S ₃ | Surajgarh | Min. | 27.1 | 7.33 | 1000 | 320 | 73.96 | 17.22 | 11.56 | 10.9 | 0.88 | 55.3 | 7.5 |
| | | Max. | 29.9 | 7.51 | 1100 | 380 | 89.44 | 29.65 | 19.18 | 35.4 | 0.95 | 68.2 | 14.8 |
| | | Average | 28.2 | 7.46 | 1084.2 | 345.3 | 81.63 | 22.78 | 15.69 | 14.2 | 0.93 | 65.4 | 9.5 |
| S ₄ | Buhana | Min. | 26.7 | 6.95 | 1800 | 640 | 100.65 | 29.77 | 10.61 | 112.2 | 0.92 | 13.4 | 17.4 |
| | | Max. | 29.8 | 7.18 | 2000 | 700 | 128.57 | 47.24 | 18.98 | 139.1 | 0.99 | 20.5 | 28.5 |
| | | Average | 27.8 | 7.1 | 1946.3 | 667.9 | 119.51 | 39.63 | 15.72 | 127.8 | 0.96 | 16.1 | 21.2 |
| S ₅ | Singhana | Min. | 27.1 | 7.62 | 2600 | 920 | 222.65 | 40.12 | 49.49 | 260.6 | 0.39 | 21.6 | 48.2 |
| | | Max. | 30.4 | 7.84 | 3000 | 980 | 246.87 | 53.66 | 58.17 | 301.3 | 0.46 | 32.9 | 58.9 |
| | | Average | 28.8 | 7.73 | 2776.5 | 952.4 | 237.64 | 49.74 | 55.89 | 298.2 | 0.42 | 28.5 | 55.3 |
| S ₆ | Khetri | Min. | 26.6 | 7.96 | 2800 | 970 | 232.97 | 12.89 | 10.96 | 284.9 | 0.53 | 37.7 | 44.1 |
| | | Max. | 29.5 | 8.27 | 3600 | 1030 | 254.12 | 21.73 | 17.91 | 315.2 | 0.58 | 49.1 | 53.7 |
| | | Average | 28.3 | 8.18 | 2844.3 | 991.5 | 246.32 | 16.77 | 13.21 | 310.3 | 0.56 | 45.2 | 50.8 |
| S ₇ | Baggar | Min. | 26.9 | 7.15 | 1900 | 700 | 155.77 | 27.12 | 39.54 | 355.4 | 0.61 | 21.2 | 37.8 |
| | | Max. | 30.1 | 7.44 | 2300 | 750 | 178.62 | 39.79 | 48.11 | 381.2 | 0.67 | 35.5 | 49.9 |
| | | Average | 28.5 | 7.32 | 1958.4 | 728.8 | 168.55 | 34.39 | 44.86 | 377.6 | 0.63 | 29.5 | 45.3 |
| S ₈ | Jhunjhunu | Min. | 27.4 | 6.82 | 1000 | 310 | 64.97 | 7.99 | 12.72 | 56.3 | 0.85 | 9.8 | 8.4 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|------|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| | | Max. | 30.2 | 7.09 | 1100 | 360 | 87.34 | 10.84 | 18.29 | 66.8 | 0.91 | 17.3 | 16.3 |
| | | Average | 28.6 | 6.95 | 1081.3 | 346.2 | 78.53 | 9.89 | 15.65 | 63.9 | 0.89 | 11.4 | 12.8 |
| S ₉ | Mandawa | Min. | 26.9 | 7.65 | 2700 | 960 | 286.47 | 54.67 | 41.94 | 272.9 | 0.68 | 6.8 | 46.2 |
| | | Max. | 29.7 | 7.85 | 3400 | 1010 | 312.76 | 65.33 | 52.87 | 290.1 | 0.73 | 10.1 | 57.8 |
| | | Average | 28.4 | 7.73 | 2792.4 | 982.1 | 305.82 | 60.34 | 47.82 | 286.4 | 0.71 | 7.5 | 54.3 |
| S ₁₀ | Udaipurwati | Min. | 27.2 | 6.69 | 2500 | 890 | 249.21 | 50.97 | 40.75 | 131.5 | 0.18 | 65.1 | 41.4 |
| | | Max. | 30.1 | 6.86 | 2900 | 940 | 277.28 | 59.22 | 50.63 | 152.4 | 0.24 | 77.8 | 53.5 |
| | | Average | 28.7 | 6.77 | 2559.1 | 911.4 | 265.35 | 54.57 | 45.67 | 148.3 | 0.21 | 72.2 | 49.9 |
| S ₁₁ | Nawalgarh | Min. | 26.8 | 6.96 | 1900 | 680 | 187.22 | 35.11 | 42.21 | 132.3 | 0.69 | 58.9 | 35.3 |
| | | Max. | 29.9 | 7.17 | 2100 | 720 | 218.45 | 49.82 | 52.76 | 147.8 | 0.76 | 72.4 | 48.2 |
| | | Average | 28.6 | 7.8 | 1998.2 | 702.3 | 201.68 | 41.33 | 48.95 | 142 | 0.73 | 67.2 | 41.5 |
| S ₁₂ | Gudha | Min. | 27.5 | 7.36 | 2000 | 710 | 200.34 | 51.55 | 59.99 | 184.4 | 0.26 | 44.8 | 17.3 |
| | | Max. | 30.4 | 7.55 | 2200 | 760 | 232.66 | 62.67 | 68.32 | 212.8 | 0.31 | 53.4 | 27.9 |
| | | Average | 29.3 | 7.48 | 2045.8 | 736.6 | 215.59 | 58.22 | 64.34 | 201.3 | 0.29 | 50.2 | 24.5 |
| S ₁₃ | Mukundgarh | Min. | 27.2 | 6.68 | 2400 | 850 | 186.66 | 11.32 | 28.24 | 181.9 | 0.15 | 3.8 | 16.5 |
| | | Max. | 30.1 | 6.85 | 2500 | 890 | 216.78 | 22.66 | 36.78 | 200.1 | 0.22 | 11.5 | 28.6 |
| | | Average | 28.9 | 6.79 | 2410.9 | 877.8 | 201.56 | 17.78 | 32.53 | 192.3 | 0.19 | 5.9 | 22.8 |
| S ₁₄ | Dundlod | Min. | 27.7 | 7.81 | 1100 | 360 | 58.34 | 9.18 | 6.01 | 65.6 | 0.34 | 8.2 | 10.2 |
| | | Max. | 30.5 | 8.02 | 1300 | 410 | 71.66 | 18.21 | 10.71 | 82.8 | 0.39 | 16.5 | 20.1 |
| | | Average | 29.1 | 7.92 | 1246.7 | 389.9 | 65.58 | 11.24 | 7.98 | 78.3 | 0.36 | 10.4 | 16.3 |
| S ₁₅ | Bissau | Min. | 26.7 | 8.79 | 2600 | 900 | 280.36 | 20.44 | 26.89 | 285.6 | 0.68 | 58.9 | 18.4 |
| | | Max. | 29.8 | 9.05 | 2700 | 940 | 306.11 | 35.26 | 35.66 | 309.5 | 0.74 | 66.6 | 28.5 |
| | | Average | 28.4 | 8.98 | 2601.2 | 922.3 | 298.48 | 26.67 | 31.24 | 305.8 | 0.72 | 62.5 | 25.9 |
| S ₁₆ | ISI | | - | 7.0-8.5 | 1400 | 1000 | 500 | 200 | - | 250 | 1.5 | 50 | 200 |
| S ₁₇ | WHO | | - | 6.5-8.5 | - | 500 | 300 | 200 | - | 250 | 1.0 | 45 | 200 |

Potassium (K⁺)

The major source of potassium in natural fresh water is weathering of rocks but the quantity increases in the polluted water due to disposal of wastewater [11]. The concentrations of Potassium content in the water samples varied from 7.98 to 68.32 mg/l.

Chloride (Cl⁻)

Chloride salts are generally dispersed in underground water in changeable concentrations. The origin of chloride in water is due to the different source such as weathering and leaching of sedimentary rocks and soils, infiltration of seawater, domestic and industrial waste discharge, etc. Excess chloride in potable water is not particularly harmful and the criteria set for this anion are based primarily on portability and high corrosiveness. Chloride exceeding 250 mg/l imparts salts taste to water and causes laxative effects [15]. In the present analysis, chloride concentration was found in the range of 10.9 mg/l to 381.2 mg/l. The values are within the limit except water sample collected from sites S₂, S₅, S₆, S₇, S₉ and S₁₅.

Fluoride (F⁻)

Fluoride is a geochemical contaminant and natural sources account for most of the fluoride in surface and underground water. Its concentration is dependent on solubility of fluoride containing rocks. Intake of excess fluoride causes skeletal and dental fluorosis. The non skeletal fluorosis due to continuous intake of fluoride containing water, air and agricultural produce [12]. Fluoride content of the study area in the present investigation is ranged from

0.15 mg/l to 0.99 mg/l. which are well within the WHO and ISI permissible limits.

Nitrate (NO₃⁻)

Nitrate in water is due to domestic activities and agricultural runoff which dissolved in rain water leaches into the wells [16]. The presence of nitrate in drinking water has adverse effects on health above 50 mg/l. The nitrate content in the study area varied in the range 3.8 mg/l to 72.4 mg/l and found below permissible limit of ISI, except samples from sampling point S₃, S₆, S₁₀, S₁₁, S₁₂ and S₁₅.

Sulphate (SO₄⁻²)

Sulphate occurs naturally in water as a result of leaching from gypsum and other common minerals³. Discharge of industrial wastes and domestic sewage tends to increase its concentration. The sulphate concentration varied between varied between 7.5 mg/l to 58.9 mg/l and found within the prescribed limit [17].

Statistical analysis

In statistics, correlation is a broad class of statistical relationship between two or more variables. Hence, it can be considered as a normalized measurement of covariance.

The correlation study is useful to find a predictable relationship which can be exploited in practice. It is used for the measurement of the strength and statistical significance of the relation between two or more water quality parameters. Hence, it is a helpful tool for the promotion of research activities¹⁸⁻¹⁹.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

| Parameters | T | pH | EC | TDS | TH | Na ⁺ | K ⁺ | Cl ⁻ | F ⁻ | NO ₃ ⁻ | SO ₄ ⁻² |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| T | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| pH | -0.089 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| EC | 0.008 | 0.298 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| TDS | 0.003 | 0.270 | 0.994* | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| TH | 0.045 | 0.381 | 0.928* | 0.935* | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| Na ⁺ | -0.039 | -0.1070 | 0.378 | 0.396 | 0.482 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| K ⁺ | 0.259 | -0.081 | 0.345 | 0.384 | 0.484 | 0.844* | 1.000 | | | | |
| Cl ⁻ | -0.057 | 0.424 | 0.768* | 0.796* | 0.728* | 0.243 | 0.348 | 1.000 | | | |
| F ⁻ | 0.509 | 0.134 | -0.337 | -0.369 | -0.314 | -0.244 | -0.422 | -0.247 | 1.000 | | |
| NO ₃ ⁻ | 0.096 | 0.267 | 0.174 | 0.170 | 0.310 | 0.089 | 0.088 | -0.009 | 0.101 | 1.000 | |
| SO ₄ ⁻² | -0.013 | 0.167 | 0.809* | 0.805* | 0.751* | 0.471 | 0.429 | 0.732* | 0.269 | 0.172 | 1.000 |

Significant at 5% level, $r > 0.649$

It can put forward possible causal of mechanistic relationships of research work. The correlation coefficients (r) were calculated and correlation matrix was obtained [18-20]. The values of correlation coefficients are listed in Table 2. The negative correlations were found in 15 cases between Temperature and pH, Temperature and Na^+ , Temperature and Cl^- , Temperature and F^- , Temperature and SO_4^{-2} , pH and Na^+ , pH and K^+ , EC and F^- , TDS and F^- , TH and F^- , Na^+ and F^- , K^+ and F^- , Cl^- and F^- , Cl^- and NO_3^- , F^- and SO_4^{-2} . Some of the highly significant correlations were discernible between EC and TDS, EC and TH, EC and Cl^- , EC and SO_4^{-2} , TDS and TH, TDS and Cl^- , TDS and SO_4^{-2} , TH and Cl^- , Na^+ and K^+ , Cl^- and SO_4^{-2} . Poor positive correlation was found between Temperature and K^+ , pH and EC, pH and TDS, pH and TH, pH and Cl^- , pH and F^- , pH and NO_3^- , pH and SO_4^{-2} , EC and Na^+ , EC and K^+ , EC and NO_3^- , TDS and Na^+ , TDS and K^+ , TDS and NO_3^- , TH and Na^+ , TH and K^+ , TH and NO_3^- , Na^+ and Cl^- , Na^+ and SO_4^{-2} , K^+ and Cl^- , K^+ and SO_4^{-2} , F^- and NO_3^- , NO_3^- and SO_4^{-2} . Very negligible positive correlation was observed between Temperature and EC, Temperature and TDS, Temperature and TH, Temperature and NO_3^- , K^+ and NO_3^- , Na^+ and NO_3^- .

Conclusion

Water is indispensable not only for the existence of the mankind but also for human development and healthy functioning of eco-system. The overall study of physico-chemical parameters in the present investigation indicates that the quality of underground water varies from Place to Place. Higher values of certain parameters at certain hand-pumps indicate the unfitness of water for drinking purpose. Hence, it suggested that underground water source in the study area be monitored before the use for domestic and drinking purposes. It also suggested that more emphasis should be given to reduce TDS, TH, Cl^- contents and NO_3^- contents, where ever these crosses the limits of ISI standards.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Director, B K Birla Institute of Engineering & Technology, Pilani (Raj.) for providing necessary research facilities.

References

- Atta A.I., Razzak B.I.A., Chemical and physical analysis of some ground water sample in Al-Quti wells Hodiedah, Yemen, *J. Iran. Chem. Res.*, **1**, 141 (2008)
- Mehta K.V., Physicochemical and statistical evaluation of groundwater of some places of Deesa taluka in Banaskantha district of Gujarat state (India), *Int. J. of Chem. Tech. Research*, **3**(3), 1129 (2011)
- Shahbazi A., Esmaeili-Sari A., Groundwater Quality Assessment in North of Iran: A Case Study of the Mazandaran Province, *World Applied Sci. J.*, **5**, 92(2009)
- Konstantopoulou F., Lin S., Papageorgion L.G., Gikas P., Water resources management for Paros. Island, *Int. J. Sustainable Water and Environmental System*, **2**(1), 1(2011)
- Nwidi L.L., Oveh B, Okoriye T., Vaikosen N. A., Assessment of the Water Quality and Prevalence of Water Borne Diseases in Amassoma, Niger Delta, Nigeria, *African J. Biotechnology*, **7**(17), 2993 (2008)
- Aoto O., Adiyiah J., Chemical analysis of drinking water from some communities in the Bronghafo region, *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech.*, **4**(2), 211 (2007)
- Rajankar P.N., Gulhane S.R., Tambekar D.H., Ramteke D.S., Wate S.R., Water Quality Assessment of Groundwater Resources in Nagpur Region (India) Based on WQI, *E. J. Chem.*, **6**(3), 905 (2009)
- Alam M., Rais S., Aslam M., Hydrochemical Survey of Groundwater of Delhi, India, *E. J. Chem.*, **6**(2), 429 (2009)
- Arya S., Kumar V., Minakshi, Dhaka A., Assessment of underground water quality: A Case study of Jhasni City, U.P. India, *Int. Multidiscipl. Res. J.*, **1**(7), 11 (2011)
- APHA, AWWA, WEF. Washington DC, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water, 20th Ed. (1998)
- Murhekar Gopalkrushna H., Assessment of Physico-Chemical Status of Ground Water Samples in Akot city, *Res. J. Chem. Sci.*, **1**(4), 117 (2011)
- Bachenahalli V., Basavaraja K.M., Giri S.K., Rubeena Mubeen S., Evaluation of open well water quality of Bakani Tehsil in Jhalawar District (Rajasthan), India, *Asian Journal of Biochemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, **3**(1), 362 (2011)
- Meena M.K., Dutta S., Pradhan R., Assessment of Heavy Metals in the Waste Water Used for Irrigation in Ajmer - A Semi Arid Region of Rajasthan, *Nature Environment & Pollution Technology EJEAFChe*, **9**(4), 760 (2010)
- Yadav R.N., Dagar N.K., Yadav R., Gupta P., Assessment of ground water quality of adjoining

- area of the Bhiwari Industrial Area (Alwar), Rajasthan, *Res. J. of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, **2(4)**, 258 (2011)
15. Chand D., Fluoride and human health cause for concern, *Indian J. Env. Prot.*, **19(2)**, 81 (1999)
 16. Raviprakash Rao K., Physicochemical characteristics and statistical study of groundwater of places of Vadgam taluka in Banaskantha district of Gujarat state (India), *Indian J. Geochem.*, **4(1)**, 39 (1989)
 17. Manivaskam N., Physicochemical Examination of Water Sewage and Industrial effluent, 5th Ed. Pragati Prakashan Meerut (2005)
 18. Sangpal R.R., Kulkarni U.D., Nandurkar Y.M., Contributory geochemical f groundwater quality along confluence of Mula Mutha and Bhima Maharashtra, *Aparn J. of Agricultural and Biol. Sci.*, **6(3)**, 34 (2011)
 19. Murthuzasab M.R., Rajashekhar M., Vijaykumar K., Haliked N.S., Seasonal variations of zooplankton community in freshwater reservoir Gulbarga District, Karnataka, South *Inter. J. of Systems Biol.*, **2(2)**, 16 (2010)
 20. Mushekar G.H., Assessment of Physico-Chemical Status of Ground Water Samples in Akot City, *R. J. of Chem. Sci.*, **1(4)**, 117 (2011).